	ROUTING	3 AND	RECORD	SHEET	8778
SUBJECT: (Optional) Technologica	al Surpris	se ST	AP Workir	ng Group Report	K.2. 17.15.4
			EXTENSION	жо. STAP 88-0004	
Chairman, STAP				3 February 1988	
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	D/ MEGZINED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each c to whom. Draw a line across	
1. Executive Registry	10 FEE	1988	75	Det-	
DDCI	13	Feb	8		very good
3. DCI 3.guns 8	Likb.			This is a memo. Loth pead it to talk about	in you have
4.	-			talk about	t followup.
5. 00 LI			Ć		108h.
6.			0		
7.				WSSIC) NO	
105/PPD					
9.					
10	1	1			-
11					
12.					(Do.)
13.					EXEC REG
14.					
15	ewski bila	Factor 1	e vew or or or		

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06 : CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420002-1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1 25X1

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

Science and Technology Advisory Panel

STAP 88-0004 3 February 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Director of Central Intelligence
VIA:	Deputy Director of Central Intelligence Director, Intelligence Community Staff
SUBJECT:	Technological Surprise - STAP Working Group Report
question of how technological su defining the kin main lines of in the Intelligence examination of sadvances. The f	This memorandum reports the findings of a STAP working group that examined the intelligence could be enhanced to reduce the likelihood of rprise, with particular emphasis on the Soviet Union. After ds of surprise that can occur, the working group followed two quiry: a review of the organizational structure and process Community uses to study technological issues; and an ome key substantive areas that are likely to see technological indings of the group are summarized in this report. A procedural recommendations (Attachment A) and a survey of
2. Kinds of usually inclined Horse or Pearl H cause and effect broader context, Innovations in machine gun, the warfare and the range of develop no single way of diversity of rou	Surprise Because of its dramatic effect in combat, we are to conceive of surprise in the sense suggested by the Trojan larbor, a sense that limits our perspective to an immediate. But it is no less essential to examine surprise in a to look at the means as well as the conduct of warfare. The limits technology—such as the longbow, gunpowder, the long-range missile, and so on—have changed the face of political map. The history of these innovations illustrates a ment paths, and underscores the important point that there is thinking about surprise. Analysts must be aware of the ites by which surprise can occur.
scienti unilate fissior	dentific Surprise Surprise here most nearly equates to lific notions of "discovery." Most dramatic would be the eral discovery of a new scientific principle, like nuclear or stimulated emission, whose military applications would be ecret until a surprise attack—an unlikely event. Given the reach of science, it is difficult to predict a comorehensive

SECRET

REGRADE CONFIDENTIAL UPON REMOVAL OF ATTACHMENT

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06: CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420002-1

25X1

25X1

2011

ECT	: Technological Surprise - STAP Working Group Report
	b. Technological Innovation Equally high stakes, at somewhat higher probability, are associated with the technological development or
	novel combination of <u>established</u> scientific principles for military uses. At issue are both the exploitation of new scientific principles and the integration of different technologies in
	unanticipated ways. For example, the fission of atomic nuclei by neutron capture was a publicly available scientific fact just before
	World War II. The program to develop the technology for a feasibility demonstration of a nuclear weapon was not (although it was later acquired by Soviet espionage).
	d. <u>Fielding of New Military Systems</u> Many divergences between the US and the Soviets in this category are already known, but their
	significance may not yet be fully appreciated; others remain to be identified. In organizing efforts to avert surprise, it will be
	important to focus careful attention on identifying potential countermeasures to our existing systems. In many cases we are well aware of the technologies that might be applicable
	and we are attempting to avoid surprise by preparing for the possibility that our adversaries have
	expended the effort to deploy them. Technological surprise in this yein can also be compounded by innovations in doctrine and tactics;
	again, the main surprise would be that an adversary actually <u>did</u> what we knew (technically) to be <u>possible</u>

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06 : CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420002-1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

2

SUB	JECT:	Technological Surprise - STAP Working Group Report				
terito effected	egory rorist predic orts, hnica	cortant area of concern. An emerging concern should be noted in thisthe potential for application of more sophisticated technologies by t groups. A final consideration that may tend to confound our ability ct technological advances is the part played by Soviet espionage especially those directed at covert acquisition of technology and l information. As we have seen, system development times can be antly shortened by such methods.				
var and	rt ted ious : organ	Responding to the Possibility of Surprise A program to anticipate and chnological surprise should have several dimensions because of the forms that surprise may take. What follows is a survey of conceptual nizational steps that would enhance the intelligence effort. The behind the recommendations has three parts:				
	0	Increase awareness, emphasis, and continuity within the Intelligence Community on technological surprise considerations.				
	0	Improve contact and communication between the Intelligence Community and policymakers to enhance prospects for early action to counter potential surprises and to identify areas where surprises may be particularly worrisome. This is especially relevant to military applications of technology and the fielding of new military systems				
	Ĺ	a. Conceptual Recommendations				
		(1) Review of US R&D efforts We would do well to review, systematically, US military technology development programs, including proposals for development that have not been pursued. (This approach will require a high standard of cooperation between intelligence and DoD and Service Research and Development organizations, especially with respect to highly classified programs, which will raise difficult questions of access.) Technology application programs should be reviewed to determine:				
		o Their potential in some circumstances to do us serious harm were they successfully developed by the Soviets.				
		o The Soviet technological capacity to undertake the necessary development, acquisition, and deployment.				
	,	 An intelligence assessment of the real and potential indicators of their current status in the USSR. 				

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06: CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420002-1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

IR.IECT•	Technological Surprise - STAP Working Group Report	
DOLC1.	recimo rogrado a de proposa de la composa de	
	We should also scan our vulnerabilities with these same questions in mind, particularly with respect to potential	
	countermeasures to currently programmed US systems	
	Beyond this, it will be important to have a small, nighty creative effort to identify technological innovations that, though clearly inappropriate for the US, might be rewarding for the USSR.	
	(2) <u>Doctrinal</u> , <u>Socio-political and Geomilitary Dimensions</u> . The use of high technology in warfare could produce disastrous surprises if we rely on constraints that may be of a political rather than a technical nature, for example, disarmament	
	treaties, non-proliferation agreements or expectations of a country's intentions.	
	developed in third countries (not just the US and USSR) should not be neglected, and attention should be paid to the fact that surprise implications are not limited to military issues; economic implications are also important (as in the case, for example, of fusion).	
	example, of fusion).	
	It is not enough, however, to grasp the potential for surprise; it is as important to increase the awareness of those who	
	must act on that potential. A list of recommendations that would accomplish these objectives at very little cost is shown in Attachment A.	
£ .	Substantive Areas Where Surprises May Occur Although implementation	
the a	bove recommendations is believed to be the most important action or reduce the chance that another Sputnik, ALFA-class submarine, or	
lieves tentio	n biological agent will take US policymakers unaware, the Panel it would also be useful to identify key areas where intelligence n should be concentrated. These areas include technological	-
portun	ities that may be exploited in ways that would have significance for	
	SECRET	

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06: CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420002-1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

9. As an aside it is worth pointing out that one knowledgeable observer of the Soviet political and scientific scene suggested that despite apparent changes in atmosphere in the USSR, including the stress on "glasnost", activities in R&D institutions will not change much in the foreseeable future. There will be younger institute directors, and some relaxation of

SECRET

25X1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06 : CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420002-1
SUBJECT: Technological Surprise - STAP Working Group Report
constraints on communication, but most things, including the areas being worked, will go on as before.
10. We intend to continue working closely with Community S&T officers to reduce the likelihood of surprise, and would be happy to discuss any of these issues with you in further detail if you wish.
Attachments: A. Procedural Recommendations B. Some Technologies and Substantive Areas for Emphasis

SECRET

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25×1

SUBJECT: Technological Surpr	rise - STAP Working Group f	Report
DISTRIBUTION: (STAP 88-0004)		
Copy 1 - DCI 2 - DDCI 3 - ER File 4 - D/ICS 5 - DD/ICS 6 - DDR&E/ICS 7 - C/STAP 8 - NIO/S&T (Nall) 9 - D/OSWR 10 - DD/OSWF 11 - C/STIC 12 - STAP Subject 13 - STAP Chrono 14 - ICS Registry		

[25 January 1988]

DCI/ICS/PPO

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06: CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420002-1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

SECRET

